

Message

---

**From:** Dourson, Michael [dourson.michael@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 12/4/2017 1:05:10 PM  
**To:** Jackson, Ryan [jackson.ryan@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Beck, Nancy [Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]; Bowman, Liz [Bowman.Liz@epa.gov]; Baptist, Erik [baptist.erik@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Chlorpyrifos

Ryan

This would seem to be very reasonable.

Mike

---

**From:** Jackson, Ryan  
**Sent:** Monday, December 4, 2017 7:52 AM  
**To:** Dourson, Michael <dourson.michael@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Beck, Nancy <Beck.Nancy@epa.gov>; Bowman, Liz <Bowman.Liz@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <baptist.erik@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Chlorpyrifos

Can we simply request the data without PII?

---

Ryan Jackson  
Chief of Staff  
U.S. EPA  
(202) 564-6999

On Dec 4, 2017, at 7:50 AM, Dourson, Michael <[dourson.michael@epa.gov](mailto:dourson.michael@epa.gov)> wrote:

Ryan

Nancy may have different thoughts, but the main objection appears to be that some of the raw data has personal information that otherwise should not be released. However, without these data, or at least a redacted version of these data, there is really no way anyone on the outside can replicate the Columbia analysis. Industries quite naturally object to this withholding of data, especially if it has an impact on the assessment (which in this case it very much does). Industries are not allowed to withhold data.

It would be highly unusual, and perhaps unique, that OPP would make a risk decision on the basis on data they were not able to fully evaluate. The last administration was pushing the use of human data, which may have had something to do with the current position.

Cheers!

Michael...

... L. Dourson, PhD., DABT, FATS, FSRA  
Senior Advisor to the Administrator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

[dourson.michael@epa.gov](mailto:dourson.michael@epa.gov)  
202-564-2463

---

**From:** Jackson, Ryan  
**Sent:** Monday, December 4, 2017 5:03 AM  
**To:** Beck, Nancy <[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Bowman, Liz <[Bowman.Liz@epa.gov](mailto:Bowman.Liz@epa.gov)>; Baptist, Erik <[baptist.erik@epa.gov](mailto:baptist.erik@epa.gov)>; Dourson, Michael <[dourson.michael@epa.gov](mailto:dourson.michael@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: Chlorpyrifos

Why do they object to providing the data?

---

Ryan Jackson  
Chief of Staff  
U.S. EPA  
(202) 564-6999

On Nov 30, 2017, at 6:50 PM, Beck, Nancy <[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov](mailto:Beck.Nancy@epa.gov)> wrote:

Attached for your review is an updated timeline for the chlorpyrifos evaluation.  
In addition, while we will continue to evaluate data, we would like to close the loop on the data availability issue and make one more request to Columbia University for their raw data (they have rejected this request in the past, but we thought that perhaps with a new Administration it could be helpful ask again so we have a strong record).  
A draft letter is attached.

Please let me know your thoughts on both.  
Happy to chat.

Nancy

\*\*\*\*\*  
Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT  
Deputy Assistant Administrator  
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention  
P: 202-564-1273

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

[beck.nancy@epa.gov](mailto:beck.nancy@epa.gov)

<Chlorpyrifos Timeline Draft.docx>  
<LetterToColumbia\_112817 RPK.docx>